

UK-Ukraine R&I twinning grants scheme selection criteria Annex B

The following rubric will be used to score each of the 3 areas:

1. Partnership and proposed activity
2. Capacity strengthening and additionality
3. Operational feasibility of project

Meaningful engagement with the Gender Equality Statement will also be assessed and shortlisted applications may be returned for further development of the statement if warranted. Guidelines for the assessment of the Gender Equality Statement can be found on [the UKRI webpage](#).

Projects must be compliant with the ODA requirements of this grant scheme, and this will be evaluated at the eligibility-check stage of the assessment process. Applications that are not ODA compliant as assessed through the ODA statement will not be awarded through this grant scheme.

Grant application scoring rubric

1. Partnership and proposed activity		2. Capacity strengthening and additionality		3. Operational feasibility of project	
Key criteria	Scoring (out of 5)	Key criteria	Scoring (out of 5)	Key criteria	Scoring (out of 5)
Strong rationale and significance of proposed activity to twinning partnership.	5 points: Meets all criteria to an exceptional level	The project clearly addresses the call priorities.	5 points: Meets all criteria to an exceptional level	Personnel, project activities, timeline, and budget expenditures are congruent with the scheme timeframes.	5 points: Meets all criteria to an exceptional level
Addresses specific research and innovation need(s) in the partnership and across both institutions.	4 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a very high level	The project represents the implementation of a new and additional activity, idea, or capacity within the twinning partnership, with potential benefits made clear.	4 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a very high level	The cost profile is appropriate to the scheme and expected spend justified. Cost categories are proportional to the overall budget proposal.	4 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a very high level
Proposed outcomes or activities align with institutional research and innovation priorities.	3 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a good level	Evidence presented that the project or its impact can be sustained locally beyond the grant period if warranted.	3 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a good level	Risks have been considered and reasonable mitigations described.	3 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to a good level
	2 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to an adequate level		2 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to an adequate level		2 points: Meets the majority of the criteria to an adequate level

<p>The proposed activity is in line with ODA requirements as detailed in the call guidelines.</p>	<p>1 point: Meets some of the criteria to an adequate level 0 points: does not meet the criteria or insufficient evidence provided.</p>		<p>1 point: Meets some of the criteria to an adequate level 0 points: does not meet the criteria or insufficient evidence provided.</p>		<p>1 point: Meets some of the criteria to an adequate level 0 points: does not meet the criteria or insufficient evidence provided.</p>
<p>Meaningful engagement with Gender Equality Statement criteria:</p>	<p>Yes/No/More information required</p>	<p>Comments/Notes:</p>			
<p>ODA compliant:</p>	<p>Yes/No/More information required</p>	<p>Comments/Notes:</p>			
<p>Total Score:</p>	<p>/15</p>				

If you have any questions about any element of the assessment rubric or Gender Equality Statement, please contact the Strategic Partnerships team at twinning-grants@international.ac.uk.